



Effect of CO₂, H₂O and SO₂ in the ceria-catalyzed combustion of soot under simulated diesel exhaust conditions



Ana M. Hernández-Giménez, Dolores Lozano-Castelló, Agustín Bueno-López*

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Alicante, Ap. 99, E-03080 Alicante, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 September 2013

Received in revised form 7 November 2013

Accepted 17 November 2013

Available online 24 November 2013

Keywords:

Diesel soot

Soot combustion

Ceria–zirconia catalyst

Neodymium–ceria catalyst

Nitrogen oxides

ABSTRACT

The effect of CO₂, H₂O and SO₂ in the Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ catalyzed combustion of soot with NO_x + O₂ has been studied. Combustion experiments performed in a fix-bed reactor with soot-catalyst mixtures prepared in loose contact mode showed that CO₂, H₂O and SO₂ lower the activity of both catalysts, and the inhibiting effect follows the trend SO₂ > H₂O > CO₂. Regardless the gas mixture composition, the catalytic activity for soot combustion of Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ is equal or higher to that of Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ because Nd³⁺ doping seems to promote the participation of the active oxygen mechanism together with the NO₂-assisted mechanism in the catalytic combustion of soot. The maximum soot combustion rate achieved during a Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂-catalyzed reaction in NO_x/O₂/CO₂/H₂O/N₂ is about three times higher than that of the uncatalyzed combustion, and this catalyst also improves the CO₂ selectivity.

In situ DRIFTS experiments showed that CO₂, H₂O and SO₂ compete with NO_x for the adsorption sites on the catalysts' surface. CO₂ partially impedes the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂, affecting much more to the Nd³⁺-containing catalyst; however, the contribution of the active oxygen mechanism seems to remain relevant in this case. H₂O also hinders the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ on both catalysts, and therefore the catalytic combustion of soot, because delays the formation of nitrogen reaction intermediates on the catalysts' surface and favors the formation of more stable nitrogen surface species than in a H₂O-free gas stream. For both catalysts, SO₂ chemisorption (with sulfate formation) is even able to remove nitrogen surface groups previously formed by NO_x chemisorption, which significantly inhibits the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ and the catalytic combustion of soot.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Catalytic combustion of diesel soot is a topic of ongoing research [1–4], and different catalysts have been investigated for this purpose at laboratory scale. These catalysts include formulations with noble metals, alkali metals, alkali earth metals, and transition/internal transition metals that can accomplish redox cycles (V, Mn, Co, Cu, Fe, Ce, Pr, etc.) [5].

Platinum catalysts seem the most interesting for practical applications because they combine high activity and stability [6–8], but due to the high price of noble metals, cheaper catalysts are being investigated. Considering the laboratory results obtained by several research groups [4,5,9–11], ceria-based catalysts have been proposed as promising substitutes of platinum catalysts. However, extrapolation of laboratory results to real conditions must be done having in mind that, to obtain laboratory results with practical relevance, several aspects must be taken into account.

Attention must be paid to the soot-catalyst contact, and it is usually accepted that “loose contact” mixtures of soot and powder catalysts (soot and catalyst are mixed with a spatula, for instance) simulate the poor contact attained in a real diesel particulate filter.

Thermal stability of catalysts is also a major issue to pay attention to in laboratory studies. Usually, the exhaust temperature in a diesel vehicle is lower than 500 °C, but hot spots are formed during the regeneration of the diesel particulate filters where the temperature can increase out of control.

The presence of NO_x in the gas mixture also plays a key role in the catalytic combustion of soot, and laboratory experiments with practical interest are usually performed with a gas mixture of NO + O₂. NO₂ can be produced in these mixtures, which reacts with soot much faster than NO and O₂.

All these aspects have been taken into account in many articles devoted to the study of soot combustion ceria-based catalysts at laboratory [9], but not so attention has been paid to the effect of CO₂, H₂O and SO₂ in the catalytic performance of ceria catalysts, despite these gases are typically present in a diesel exhaust gas.

Some authors have reported the poisoning effect of SO₂ on ceria-containing soot combustion catalysts [12–15]. Weng et al.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 600948665; fax: +34 965903454.
E-mail address: agus@ua.es (A. Bueno-López).

[13] studied the catalytic combustion of soot in $\text{NO}_x + \text{O}_2$ with Cu–K/CeO₂ catalysts that were previously treated in a 400 ppm SO₂ stream at 400 °C, and a decrease on the activity was noticed. This deactivation was attributed to potassium sulfate formation, but the effect SO₂ on the ceria behavior was not discussed.

The effect of H₂O and SO₂ on the stability of Ba,K/CeO₂ catalysts during diesel soot combustion was also studied [14], and it was concluded that these catalysts had good tolerance to water at low temperatures (e.g., 400 °C) while high concentrations of SO₂ lead to rapid deactivation. The formation of potassium, barium and cerium sulfates was reported, but the particular effect of H₂O (if any) and SO₂ on the performance of ceria was not analyzed in detail.

MnOx–CeO₂ mixed oxides were also tested for the low-temperature oxidation of diesel soot in a $\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO} + \text{N}_2$ gas stream, and the effect of SO₂ was also studied [15]. The poisoning effect of SO₂ was also reported in this case, but a detailed analysis of its effect on ceria was not provided apart from the evidences obtained by thermogravimetry about sulfates formation.

The goal of the current study is to analyze the effect of CO₂, H₂O and SO₂ in the ceria-catalyzed combustion of soot in simulated diesel exhaust conditions. Taking into account our previous results [16,17], and in order to provide practical relevance to the study, two catalysts with composition Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ have been selected for this study, since they combine a good thermal stability and catalytic activity for soot combustion. As previously concluded, Zr⁴⁺-doping is mandatory for a suitable thermal stability of ceria, and Nd³⁺-doping further improves the activity [17]. Not only a descriptive discussion of the CO₂, H₂O and SO₂ effect on the catalytic soot combustion has been done based on fixed reactor experiments, but special attention has been paid to the effect of these gases in the soot combustion mechanism. For this purpose, *in situ* DRIFTS experiments have been carried out.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalysts used

Details about the preparation and characterization of the Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ catalysts were previously reported [17]. In brief, the required amounts of Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Sigma Aldrich, 99%), Nd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Aldrich, 99.9%) and/or ZrO(NO₃)₂·xH₂O (Fluka, x ≈ 6) were dissolved in water and co-precipitation was carried out by dropping an ammonia solution to keep the pH at about 9. After filtering, the precipitate was dried at 110 °C in air overnight and calcined in air at 800 °C for 90 min.

Formally, the stoichiometric coefficient of oxygen on Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ should be lower than 2, since the tetravalent cation “Ce⁴⁺” is replaced by a trivalent one (Nd³⁺). However, the subscript 2 has been maintained for the sake of simplicity.

2.2. Catalytic tests in a fix-bed reactor

Catalytic tests were performed in a tubular quartz reactor coupled to specific NDIR-UV gas analyzers for CO, CO₂, NO, NO₂, SO₂ and O₂ monitoring (Fisher–Rosemount, models BINOS 100, 1001 and 1004). 20 mg of soot, 80 mg of catalyst, or 20 mg of soot + 80 mg of catalyst mixed in the so-called loose contact mode [18] were used in these experiments (and also an empty reactor). In all cases, the samples were diluted with 300 mg of SiC to avoid pressure drop and favor heat transfer. The model soot used in this study is a carbon black by Evonik-Degussa GmbH (Printex U).

Temperature programmed reactions were performed from room temperature until 700 °C at 10 °C/min with the gas mixtures NO_x/O₂/N₂, NO_x/O₂/CO₂/N₂, NO_x/O₂/H₂O/N₂ and NO_x/O₂/SO₂/N₂. Total flows of 500 ml/min were used (GHSV = 30,000 h^{−1}), and the

compositions of the different gases in these mixtures were 500 ppm NO (~ 0 ppm NO₂), 5% O₂, 4% CO₂, 2% H₂O and 80 ppm SO₂ with N₂ balance.

Two additional soot combustion experiments were performed without any catalyst and with Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ under a NO_x/O₂/CO₂/H₂O/N₂ gas flow. These experiments consisted of raising the temperature from room temperature until 530 °C at 10 °C/min, keeping the maximum temperature stable for 30 min.

2.3. In situ DRIFTS experiments

A FTIR spectrometer (Jasco, model FT/IR-4100) was used for *in situ* DRIFTS experiments, with a reaction cell that allows temperature and gas flow composition control. The reactor is designed to allow the gas to flow through the catalytic bed. The gas mixtures NO_x/O₂/N₂, NO_x/O₂/CO₂/N₂, NO_x/O₂/H₂O/N₂ and NO_x/O₂/SO₂/N₂ were studied, with the same concentration of the individual gases used in the previously described catalytic tests.

The experiments consisted of heating the catalysts (without soot) until 460 °C under He flow, and a background spectrum was recorded in these conditions for each catalyst. Then, the inert gas was replaced by the selected reactive gas mixture and spectra were recorded after different times. The background spectra have been subtracted in all spectra shown in this article, and therefore, only bands attributed to adsorbed species are observed.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalytic tests in the fix-bed reactor

Fig. 1 shows the soot conversion profiles obtained from CO + CO₂ evolved in combustion experiments performed with different gas mixtures, where the effect of CO₂ (Fig. 1b), H₂O (Fig. 1c) and SO₂ (Fig. 1d) in soot oxidation under NO_x and O₂ containing gas streams is analyzed.

As it was concluded in a previous study [17], both catalysts decrease the soot combustion temperature with regard to the uncatalyzed reaction in the NO_x/O₂/N₂ gas mixture (Fig. 1a), and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ is more active than Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂. The enhanced activity of the Ce–Zr mixed oxide upon Nd³⁺ doping was attributed to the creation of oxygen vacancies, which improve the redox properties of the mixed oxide.

As a general trend, the presence of either CO₂ (Fig. 1b), H₂O (Fig. 1c) or SO₂ (Fig. 1d), in addition to NO_x and O₂, decrease the activity of both catalysts with regard to the reaction under NO_x/O₂/N₂ (Fig. 1a), while differences in the uncatalyzed reactions are not obvious for the different gas mixtures tested. The strongest inhibitor effect on the catalyzed reactions is attributed to SO₂, followed by H₂O and finally CO₂. On the other hand, regardless the gas mixture used, the catalytic activity for soot combustion of Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ is equal or higher to that of Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂.

In a previous study [17] performed with Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂, and also in many others studies performed with different soot combustion catalysts [6,19,20], the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ was identified as an important step in the catalyzed soot combustion mechanism, since NO₂ is more oxidizing than NO and O₂. This is the so-called NO₂-assisted soot combustion mechanism, where the catalyst accelerates the oxidation of NO to NO₂, NO₂ ignites soot and O₂ is able to continue the combustion once this has started. In order to explore the reasons of the inhibiting effects of CO₂, H₂O and SO₂, the NO₂ profiles obtained in oxidation experiments performed without (Fig. 2) and with soot (Fig. 3) have been analyzed.

All NO₂ profiles obtained in the absence of soot (Fig. 2) are qualitatively similar. In spite of thermodynamics predict that NO₂ should




Fig. 1. Soot combustion experiments performed under different gas mixtures: (a) NOx/O₂/N₂, (b) NOx/O₂/CO₂/N₂, (c) NOx/O₂/H₂O/N₂, (d) NOx/O₂/SO₂/N₂.

be the main NOx component at low temperature, NO₂ concentration is null at the beginning of the experiments. This is because the experimental set-up used in this study has been designed to minimize the gas phase oxidation of NO to NO₂ before the reactor, in order to mimic real diesel exhausts.

Both catalysts accelerate the oxidation of NO to NO₂ in all the gas mixtures until the thermodynamic equilibrium is achieved at a certain temperature, which has been defined as T_{\max} NO₂, and above this temperature NO₂ decreases following the thermodynamic equilibrium. Both the catalyst nature and the gas mixture affect the onset NO oxidation temperature (which is not shown in all curves of Fig. 3 for clarity), the T_{\max} NO₂ and the maximum NO₂ level achieved.

In accordance with the soot combustion experiments (Fig. 1a), Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ accelerates NO₂ production more efficiently than Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ in the NOx/O₂/N₂ gas mixture (Fig. 2a). On the contrary, Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ is more active than Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ under NOx/O₂/CO₂/N₂ (Fig. 2b) and NOx/O₂/SO₂/N₂ (Fig. 2d), and both catalysts behave equal under NOx/O₂/H₂O/N₂ (Fig. 2c). This means that there is not a direct relationship between NO₂ production and soot combustion in this case, as it will be discussed in detail afterwards, in spite of the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ is one of the reactions involved in the soot combustion mechanism. This is confirmed by the shape of the NO₂ profiles obtained in the presence of soot (Fig. 3), which is different to that obtained in the absence of soot because part of the NO₂ produced by catalytic oxidation of NO is consumed by the NO₂-soot reaction. The main nitrogen product of the NO₂-carbon reaction is NO, and therefore net NOx (=NO + NO₂) removal is quite low. NOx removal curves are included

in Fig. 1SM of the supplementary material, where it is shown that NOx removal level is always lower than 10%.

As a summary, the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ is one of the reactions involved in the soot combustion mechanism in all the gas mixtures tested, and the inhibiting effect of the gases tested on both NO₂ production and soot combustion follows the trend: SO₂ > H₂O > CO₂. However, if the behavior of both catalysts toward NO₂ production and soot combustion is compared, it is concluded that soot combustion not only depends on NO₂ production. In order to analyze this in more detail, the relationship between soot combustion (represented by the T50% (°C) temperatures determined from Fig. 1) and NO₂ production (represented by the T_{\max} NO₂ (°C) determined from Fig. 2) is plotted in Fig. 4. Quite linear relationships are obtained for both catalysts, but data obtained with Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ are always above those obtained with Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂. This confirms that NO₂ production is actually a key step in the catalytic combustion of soot. However, for similar NO₂ production, Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ is more effective for soot combustion than Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂, and this suggests the additional participation of the “active oxygen” mechanism in the soot combustion reactions. It is known that ceria-catalysts are able to exchange oxygen with gas phase O₂ molecules [21–23], and deliver highly reactive oxygen species to soot, and also to NO to be oxidized to NO₂. This additional mechanism would explain the differences between Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ and Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂, since the introduction of Nd³⁺ on the Ce–Zr mixed oxide improves the oxide reducibility and favors vacant sites formation [17], and these improvements promote active oxygen production. Therefore, Nd³⁺ doping is expected




Fig. 2. NO₂ formation in oxidation experiments performed without soot under different gas mixtures: (a) NO_x/O₂/N₂, (b) NO_x/O₂/CO₂/N₂, (c) NO_x/O₂/H₂O/N₂, (d) NO_x/O₂/SO₂/N₂.

to promote the participation of the active oxygen mechanism besides the NO₂-assisted mechanism in the catalytic combustion of soot.

It is worthy paying attention to the lower NO₂ levels detected during the Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂-catalyzed soot combustion experiments in all the gas mixtures tested (see Fig. 3a–c), except in NO_x/O₂/SO₂/N₂. These NO₂ levels are lower than those obtained with Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂, regardless the NO₂ production capacity measured in the absence of soot (Fig. 2). This means that NO₂ is used more efficiently during the Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂-catalyzed soot combustion than when Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ catalyzes the reaction, which is also related to the important role of the “active oxygen” mechanism. Once the active oxygen of Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ reacts with soot, the remaining oxidizing gases in the mixture, mainly NO₂ and O₂, react more easily, and this produces a synergetic effect between the high oxidation capacities of NO₂ and active oxygen. This would explain why NO₂ is used more efficiently by Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂.

Finally, soot combustion experiments were performed in a gas mixture that simulates a real diesel engine exhaust, including NO_x, O₂, H₂O, CO₂ and N₂ (Fig. 5 and Table 1). SO₂ has not been included in this gas mixture because the poisoning effect of this

gas inhibits almost completely the activity of the catalysts studied (see Fig. 1d), and therefore, it is assumed that the ceria-based catalysts can be only used for catalytic combustion of soot on engines running with sulfur-free diesel fuel. These additional soot combustion experiments have been performed with Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂, which is the most active ceria catalyst tested in this study, and without catalyst. The maximum temperature achieved is 530 °C, which is about the maximum temperature that can be reached in a real diesel exhaust using high engine loading and fitting the soot filter just at the exit of the engine [24]. As it was previously mentioned, higher temperatures can be reached in hot spots inside the filters during regeneration, but simulating these transient conditions is out the scope of this study. The only precaution taken in the current study was to calcine catalysts at 800 °C in order to provide thermal stability and practical interest to the oxides used.

Both the uncatalyzed and catalyzed reactions started at 450 °C in this complex gas stream. However, the uncatalyzed reaction stopped after 23% soot combustion, while not the catalyzed combustion. This can be explained by the fact that the structure of the model soot used (and also of typical real soots) consists of a turbulent core surrounded by spherical graphitic carbon layers forming an onion-like structure [25–30]. The surface of the soot particles is more reactive than the more internal well-ordered graphitic layers due to the presence of adsorbed hydrocarbons (the volatile matter of the model soot used in this study amounts to 8%). The O₂ molecule, which is the main responsible of the uncatalyzed combustion, is only able to oxidize part of the soot sample and the reaction stops once the most reactive part of the soot particles is

Table 1
Results of the soot combustion experiments in a NO_x/O₂/H₂O/CO₂/N₂ atmosphere (curves in Fig. 5).

Catalyst	Burn off (%)	CO (%) = 100·CO/CO _x	Maximum rate (μgC/s)
None	23	60	4
Ce _{0.64} Zr _{0.27} Nd _{0.09} O ₂	73	19	10.5




Fig. 3. NO_2 formation in oxidation experiments performed with soot under different gas mixtures: (a) $\text{NOx}/\text{O}_2/\text{N}_2$, (b) $\text{NOx}/\text{O}_2/\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$, (c) $\text{NOx}/\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$, (d) $\text{NOx}/\text{O}_2/\text{SO}_2/\text{N}_2$. (These curves were obtained in the same experiments than soot conversion curves in Fig. 1.)

consumed. On the contrary, both NO_2 and catalyst active oxygen assist O_2 during the $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$ -catalyzed soot combustion, and the higher reactivity of these species allows further soot combustion. Also, the maximum soot combustion rate achieved during the catalyzed reaction is about three times higher than that of the uncatalyzed combustion, and $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$ also improves the CO_2 selectivity (see data in Table 1). The improved CO_2 selectivity can be attributed either to the selective formation of this gas as primary gas product or to the catalytic oxidation of the CO first evolved.

3.2. In situ DRIFTS experiments

In order to analyze the reasons of the partial or total inhibiting effect of H_2O , CO_2 and SO_2 , *in situ* DRIFTS experiments have been performed at 460°C . The nature of the species adsorbed on the catalysts' surface has been analyzed, and due to this reason, these experiments have been carried out without soot (only with the catalysts). Representative spectra obtained with both catalysts under the different gas mixtures evaluated are included in Fig. 2SM (supplementary material), covering the entire range of wave numbers measured ($4000\text{--}500\text{ cm}^{-1}$). Most relevant bands for the purpose of this study appear in the $1800\text{--}1000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ range, and the further




Fig. 4. Relationship between soot combustion and NO_2 production. $T_{50\%}$ ($^\circ\text{C}$): temperature for 50% soot combustion; $T_{\text{max NO}_2}$ ($^\circ\text{C}$): temperature of maximum NO_2 production in blank experiments.




Fig. 5. Soot combustion experiments in $\text{NOx}/\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$.




Fig. 6. DRIFT spectra obtained in a NOx/O₂/N₂ atmosphere with (a) Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and (b) Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂. Reprinted from [17] for the sake of clarity.

analysis and discussion of the spectra is focused on this range of wave numbers.

Fig. 6 shows the spectra obtained under the NOx/O₂/N₂ atmosphere with Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ (Fig. 6a) and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ (Fig. 6b) in the 1800–1000 cm^{−1} range, where bands of nitrogen-containing surface groups appear. These spectra (and some others) were included and discussed in detail in a previous study, and a complete interpretation was there reported [17]. However, it seems convenient to include also a brief discussion of the behavior of these catalysts in the NOx/O₂/N₂ atmosphere in this article for further comparison with more complex gas mixtures. Two intense adsorption bands that grow with time are observed on Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ (Fig. 6a) and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ (Fig. 6b) spectra. These bands are located in the 1650–1450 cm^{−1} and 1350–1150 cm^{−1} ranges, and are consistent with the presence of nitrates and nitrites + nitrates, respectively [31]. Several well-defined peaks appear at particular wave numbers within the two main bands of the Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ spectra, and this is attributed to the presence of nitrites and/or nitrates with different configurations (monodentate, bidentate and/or bridged) adsorbed on different surface sites of the heterogeneous surface of these catalysts. In both figures (Fig. 6a and b), the band which is unambiguously assigned to nitrate species (1650–1450 cm^{−1} range) is the most intense, and the relative intensity of the band at 1350–1150 cm^{−1} with regard to that at 1650–1450 cm^{−1} can be related with the presence of nitrites. The relative intensity of the band at 1350–1150 cm^{−1} is higher for the Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ catalyst (Fig. 6b) than for Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ (Fig. 6a), suggesting that Nd³⁺ doping favors the formation of nitrites. Nitrites are less oxidized species than nitrates, and its formation on the Nd³⁺-containing oxide is consistent with the creation of oxygen vacancies due to the substitution of tetravalent




Fig. 7. DRIFT spectra obtained in a NOx/O₂/CO₂/N₂ atmosphere with (a) Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and (b) Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂.

Ce⁴⁺ cations by trivalent Nd³⁺ cations. Also, nitrites have inferior thermostability than nitrates and decompose more easily [32], and this would explain why Nd³⁺ doping slightly improves the NO₂ production capacity of the Ce–Zr mixed oxide in the NOx/O₂/N₂ atmosphere (see Fig. 2a). Nd³⁺ promotes the formation of less stable surface nitrogen species and provides an alternative and faster NO₂ production pathway.

3.2.1. CO₂ effect

The bands attributed to nitrates (1650–1450 cm^{−1}) and nitrites + nitrates (1350–1150 cm^{−1}) [31] are also observed in the spectra obtained with the gas mixture NOx/O₂/CO₂/N₂, both for Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ (Fig. 7a) and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ (Fig. 7b). However, an additional signal appears around 1400 cm^{−1}, between both bands assigned to nitrogen compounds.

Various carbonate-type species have been reported to present adsorption modes in the range 1200–1600 cm^{−1}. A band at ca. 1478 cm^{−1} has been proposed to correspond to the antisymmetric stretching of the terminal C–O bonds in poly or monodentate carbonates, and the corresponding symmetric mode would appear at ca. 1350 cm^{−1} [33,34]. Bands of hydrogen carbonate (at 1214, 1399–1410 and ca. 1600 cm^{−1}) and bidentate carbonate (bands at ca. 1583 and 1297 cm^{−1}) would appear in this range of wave numbers as well [33–35].

The spectra obtained including CO₂ in the gas mixture (Fig. 7) evidence the formation of carbonate species on both catalysts, but a detailed identification of the type of carbonate species formed is not possible because some of the bands overlap with those of the nitrogen surface groups. However, it seems reasonable to rule out the formation of hydrogen carbonates, because this would require the participation of hydroxyl groups (or water). Hydroxyl groups may

be detected by DRIFTS bands in the $4000\text{--}3000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ range, and their potential consumption upon CO_2 chemisorption and hydrogen carbonates formation may be evidenced by negative bands in this range of wave numbers. These evidences have not been observed in our spectra (see Fig. 2SM of the supplementary material). The absence of hydroxyl groups on the catalysts studied must be attributed to the high calcination temperature used (800°C). It is therefore presumed the formation of carbonate species on both catalysts during the *in situ* DRIFTS experiments performed under $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$.

The chemisorption of CO_2 on the catalysts modifies the nature of the nitrogen surface species, mainly affecting the formation of nitrites on $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$ (Fig. 7b). For this catalyst, the ratio between the DRIFT signal at 1547 cm^{-1} , which is attributed to nitrates, and that at 1242 cm^{-1} , which is assigned to nitrites + nitrates, after 60' on the gas streams increases from 2.0 for $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{N}_2$ to 2.5 for $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$. This indicates that CO_2 chemisorption hinders the formation of nitrites on $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$, and nitrites were proposed to be reaction intermediates of the fast catalytic oxidation of NO to NO_2 on $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$. This would explain the important decrease of the NO_2 formation under $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$ with regard to $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{N}_2$ for experiments performed with $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$ (compare Fig. 2a and b). However, the effect of CO_2 on the nature of the nitrogen surface species is not so relevant for the $\text{Ce}_{0.73}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{O}_2$ catalyst (compare Figs. 6a and 7a), and neither is on the $\text{Ce}_{0.73}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{O}_2$ -catalyzed oxidation of NO to NO_2 (compare Fig. 2a and b).

In conclusion, CO_2 and NOx compete for the adsorption sites on the catalysts' surface, and mainly for the Nd^{3+} -containing catalyst, this affects the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO_2 . However, the soot combustion experiments (Fig. 1b) evidence that, although the $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$ -catalyzed NO oxidation is impeded, the catalytic combustion of soot remains faster than with $\text{Ce}_{0.73}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{O}_2$. This supports the hypothesis of the important contribution of the active oxygen mechanism during the $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$ -catalyzed soot combustion, which seems to remain relevant even after the partial inhibition of the NO_2 -assisted soot combustion mechanism by CO_2 chemisorption.

3.2.2. H_2O effect.

The DRIFT spectra obtained under the $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$ gas stream with the $\text{Ce}_{0.73}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{O}_2$ and $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$ catalysts are compiled in Fig. 8a and b, respectively. The bands attributed to nitrates ($1650\text{--}1450\text{ cm}^{-1}$) and nitrites + nitrates ($1350\text{--}1150\text{ cm}^{-1}$) [31] are also observed in the spectra obtained with this gas mixture. The presence of water in the gas mixture delays the formation of the nitrogen surface groups (compare Figs. 6 ($\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{N}_2$) and 8 ($\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$)), and this delay affects both catalysts. For instance, bands of significant intensity appear after 1 min under reaction conditions in the absence of H_2O (Fig. 6), while not in the presence of H_2O (Fig. 8). The reason of this delay is expected to be the competition between H_2O and NOx for the adsorption sites on the catalysts' surface. H_2O chemisorption should form hydroxyl groups, but evidences of this type of groups are not observed in the $4000\text{--}3000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region (see Fig. 2SM in supplementary material). This is because hydroxyl groups are suitable sites for NOx chemisorption, as previously observed [32], and it seems they are depleted by NOx chemisorption as soon as they are formed. According to this interpretation H_2O would force the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO_2 to progress through a different and slower reaction pathway than that occurring in the absence of H_2O . This would explain why the presence of H_2O in the gas mixture diminishes the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO_2 (compare Fig. 2a ($\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{N}_2$) and c ($\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$)) and the catalytic combustion




Fig. 8. DRIFT spectra obtained in a $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$ atmosphere with (a) $\text{Ce}_{0.73}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{O}_2$ and (b) $\text{Ce}_{0.64}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{Nd}_{0.09}\text{O}_2$.

of soot (compare Fig. 1a ($\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{N}_2$) and c ($\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$)), but not inhibits completely the reactions as SO_2 almost does.

An additional argument to support this interpretation is obtained by the detailed analysis of the spectra in the $1650\text{--}1450\text{ cm}^{-1}$ range (Fig. 8), which is attributed to nitrates. Nitrates can be adsorbed on a solid surface in different configurations of different stability, and the actual position of the adsorption bands of each type of nitrate is different. These configurations are, in increasing order of stability: monodentate nitrates, bidentate nitrates and bridging nitrates, and it has been reported they present adsorption bands in the $1530\text{--}1480\text{ cm}^{-1}$, $1565\text{--}1500\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $1650\text{--}1600\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ranges, respectively [31]. As deduced from this assignation, the higher the stability of a surface nitrate species, the higher the absorption band wave number in this interval.

Different contributions to the bands in the $1650\text{--}1450\text{ cm}^{-1}$ range are observed in Figs. 6 ($\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{N}_2$) and 8 ($\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$) due to the presence of different surface nitrate species. For instance, the main band in this region obtained with the $\text{Ce}_{0.73}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{O}_2$ catalyst under $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{N}_2$ appears at 1527 cm^{-1} (see Fig. 6a), and therefore, it can be attributed to monodentate or bidentate nitrates. In addition, some other contributions appear with lower intensity at higher wave numbers (1547 and 1559 cm^{-1}), which can be assigned to bidentate and/or bridging nitrates. Bands at quite similar wave numbers are also observed in the presence of H_2O with the $\text{Ce}_{0.73}\text{Zr}_{0.27}\text{O}_2$ catalyst (Fig. 8a; $\text{NOx/O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$), but the relative intensity of the most stable species (bidentate and bridging nitrates) is higher, and a new contribution assigned to bridging nitrates appears at 1594 cm^{-1} . This supports that the presence of H_2O favors the formation of more stable nitrate species with regard to those formed in its absence, and this applies to the two catalysts studied.




Fig. 9. DRIFT spectra obtained in a NOx/O₂/SO₂/N₂ atmosphere with (a) Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and (b) Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂.

In conclusion, the presence of water in the gas mixture hinders the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂, and therefore the catalytic combustion of soot, because it delays the formation of reaction intermediates on the catalyst surface and favors the formation of more stable nitrogen surface groups than in a H₂O-free gas stream.

3.2.3. SO₂ effect

Finally, the effect of SO₂ has also been studied by DRIFTS, and the spectra are included in Fig. 9 for Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ (Fig. 9a) and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ (Fig. 9b).

Important differences have been noticed in these experiments performed under NOx/O₂/SO₂/N₂ with regard to the previously studied gas mixtures. In the presence of SO₂, the nitrates bands at 1650–1450 cm⁻¹ grow once the gas mixture is put in contact with the catalysts, but they decrease afterwards. Also the nitrites + nitrates band (1350–1150 cm⁻¹) of the Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ catalyst (Fig. 9a) grows with time, but much more slowly than in the absence of SO₂ (compare with Fig. 6a). In addition to these changes in the behavior of the nitrogen surface groups, a prominent band grows at 1348 cm⁻¹ on the Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ catalyst (Fig. 9a), which is progressively shifted to 1376 cm⁻¹. This band appears at 1345 cm⁻¹ on the Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ catalyst (Fig. 9b), but with much lower intensity. This band has been assigned to a S=O vibration mode of surface sulfates or adsorbed SO₃ [36–38]. A new band at 1293 cm⁻¹ grows on the Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ catalyst (Fig. 9a) as well, which has been also assigned to sulfate species [36].

These experiments evidence that SO₂ and NOx compete by the surface adsorption sites, and sulfates formation significantly inhibits the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ (compare Fig. 2a (NOx/O₂/N₂) and d (NOx/O₂/SO₂/N₂)), and at the end, the

catalytic combustion of soot (compare Fig. 1a (NOx/O₂/N₂) and d (NOx/O₂/SO₂/N₂)).

According to the important differences observed in the DRIFT spectra obtained in the presence of SO₂ for both catalysts (see Fig. 9), sulfate formation seems to affect much more to Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ than to Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂, but the effect on the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ is stronger for Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ (see Fig. 2d). This suggests that the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ is not only related with the nitrogen surface groups observed by DRIFTS but active oxygen, which cannot be observed so far, seems to be also involved in the catalytic oxidation of NO and seems to be also affected by SO₂ chemisorption. This would explain why the Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ catalyst, whose catalytic activity not only depends on NO₂ formation but also on active oxygen production (see Fig. 4 and the discussion in the text), suffer the same SO₂ inhibiting effect than Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ in spite of the important differences on the nitrogen surface groups behavior observed by DRIFTS (see Fig. 9).

4. Conclusions

The effect of CO₂, H₂O and SO₂ in the Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂ and Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂-catalyzed combustion of soot in the presence of NOx and O₂ has been studied, and the main conclusions achieved can be summarized as follows:

- The presence of CO₂, H₂O or SO₂, in addition to NOx and O₂, in the gas mixture decreases the activity for soot combustion of both catalysts with regard to the combustion in a NOx/O₂/N₂ mixture. The inhibiting effect follows the trend SO₂ > H₂O > CO₂.
- CO₂ partially inhibits the catalytic activity because competes with NOx for the adsorption sites on the catalysts' surface, and mainly for the Nd³⁺-containing catalyst, this affects the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂. However, the Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂-catalyzed combustion of soot remains faster, and this is attributed to the contribution of the active oxygen mechanism.
- The presence of H₂O in the gas mixture hinders the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂ on both catalysts, and therefore the catalytic combustion of soot, because it delays the formation of reaction intermediates on the catalyst surface and favors the formation of more stable nitrogen surface groups than in a H₂O-free gas stream.
- SO₂ and NOx also compete by the surface adsorption sites on the catalysts, and sulfates formation significantly inhibits the catalytic oxidation of NO to NO₂, and at the end, the catalytic combustion of soot. The high stability of sulfates explains the strongest inhibiting effect of SO₂.
- Regardless the gas mixture used the catalytic activity for soot combustion of Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂ is equal or higher to that of Ce_{0.73}Zr_{0.27}O₂, and it is proposed that this is because Nd³⁺ doping promotes the participation of the active oxygen mechanism together with the NO₂-assisted mechanism in the catalytic combustion of soot.
- The maximum soot combustion rate achieved during a Ce_{0.64}Zr_{0.27}Nd_{0.09}O₂-catalyzed reaction in NOx/O₂/CO₂/H₂O/N₂ is about three times higher than that of the uncatalyzed combustion, and this catalyst also improves the CO₂ selectivity.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the financial support of Generalitat Valenciana (Project Prometeo 2009/047), the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Project CTQ2012-30703), and the UE (FEDER funding).

References

- [1] S. Liu, X. Wu, D. Weng, M. Li, J. Fan, *Appl. Catal. B* 138–139 (2013) 199–211.
- [2] Y. Wei, J. Liu, Z. Zhao, A. Duan, G. Jiang, *J. Catal.* 287 (2012) 13–29.
- [3] M.V. Twigg, *Appl. Catal. B* 70 (2007) 2–15.
- [4] E. Aneggi, C. de Leitenburg, G. Dolcetti, A. Trovarelli, *Catal. Today* 114 (2006) 40–47.
- [5] A.M. Hernández-Giménez, D. Lozano Castelló, A. Bueno-López, *Chem. Pap.* (2013), <http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/s11696-013-0469-7>.
- [6] J. Oi Uchisawa, A. Obuchi, Z. Zhao, S. Kushiya, *Appl. Catal. B* 18 (1998) L183–L187.
- [7] J. Oi-Uchisawa, A. Obuchi, R. Enomoto, S. Liu, T. Nanba, S. Kushiya, *Appl. Catal. B* 26 (2000) 17–24.
- [8] J. Oi-Uchisawa, S. Wang, T. Nanba, A. Ohi, A. Obuchi, *Appl. Catal. B* 44 (2003) 207–215.
- [9] A. Bueno-López, *Appl. Catal. B* 146 (2014) 1–11.
- [10] M. Dhakad, T. Mitshuhashi, S. Rayalu, S. Pradip Doggali, J. Bakardjiva, D. Subrt, H. Fino, N. Haneda, Labhsetwar, *Catal. Today* 132 (2008) 188–193.
- [11] M. Machida, Y. Murata, K. Kishikawa, D. Zhang, K. Ikeue, *Chem. Mater.* 20 (2008) 4489–4494.
- [12] R. Flouty, E. Abi-Aad, S. Siffert, A. Aboukais, *Appl. Catal. B* 46 (2003) 145–153.
- [13] D. Weng, J. Li, X. Wu, F. Lin, *Catal. Commun.* 9 (2008) 1898–1901.
- [14] M.A. Peralta, V.G. Milt, L.M. Cornaglia, C.A. Querini, *J. Catal.* 242 (2006) 118–130.
- [15] K. Tikhomirov, O. Krocher, M. Elsener, A. Wokaun, *Appl. Catal. B* 64 (2006) 72–78.
- [16] I. Atribak, A. Bueno-López, A. García-García, *J. Catal.* 259 (2008) 123–132.
- [17] A.M. Hernández-Giménez, L.P. dos Santos Xavier, A. Bueno-López, *Appl. Catal. A* 462–463 (2013) 100–106.
- [18] B.A.A.L. van Setten, J.M. Schouten, M. Makkee, J.A. Moulijn, *Appl. Catal. B* 28 (2000) 253–257.
- [19] A. Setiabudi, B.A.A.L. van Setten, M. Makkee, J.A. Moulijn, *Appl. Catal. B* 35 (2002) 159–166.
- [20] A. Setiabudi, M. Makkee, Jacob A. Moulijn, *Appl. Catal. B* 50 (2004) 185–194.
- [21] A. Bueno-López, K. Krishna, M. Makkee, J.A. Moulijn, *J. Catal.* 230 (2005) 237–248.
- [22] A. Bueno-López, K. Krishna, B. van der Linden, G. Mul, J.A. Moulijn, M. Makkee, *Catal. Today* 121 (2007) 237–245.
- [23] N. Guillén-Hurtado, A. García-García, A. Bueno-López, *J. Catal.* 299 (2013) 181–187.
- [24] M. Valencia, E. López, S. Andrade, M.L. Iris, N. Guillén Hurtado, V. Rico Pérez, A. García García, C. Salinas Martínez de Lecea, A. Bueno López, *Top. Catal.* 56 (2013) 452–456.
- [25] J.P.A. Neft, M. Makkee, J.A. Moulijn, *Fuel Process. Technol.* 47 (1996) 1.
- [26] B.A.A.L. van Setten, M. Makkee, J.A. Moulijn, *Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng.* 43 (2001) 489.
- [27] B.R. Stanmore, J.F. Brilhac, P. Gilot, *Carbon* 39 (2001) 2247–2268.
- [28] M.M. Maricq, *J. Aerosol Sci.* 38 (2007) 1079.
- [29] I. Atribak, A. Bueno-López, A. García-García, *Combust. Flame* 157 (2010) 2086–2094.
- [30] F.E. López Suárez, A. Bueno-López, M.J. Illán-Gómez, B. Ura, J. Trawczynski, *Catal. Today* 176 (2011) 182–186.
- [31] K.I. Hadjiivanov, *Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng.* 42 (2000) 71–144.
- [32] I. Atribak, B. Azambre, A. Bueno López, A. García-García, *Appl. Catal. B* 92 (2009) 126–137.
- [33] D. Gamarra, A. Martínez-Arias, *J. Catal.* 263 (2009) 189–195.
- [34] C. Binet, M. Daturi, J.-C. Lavalley, *Catal. Today* 50 (1999) 207.
- [35] O. Pozdnyakova, D. Teschner, A. Wootsch, J. Kröhnert, B. Steinhauer, H. Sauer, L. Toth, F.C. Jentoft, A. Knop-Gericke, Z. Paál, R. Schlögl, *J. Catal.* 237 (2006) 1.
- [36] F. Babou, G. Coudurier, J.C. Vedrine, *J. Catal.* 152 (1995) 341–349.
- [37] B. Azambre, L. Zenboury, J.V. Weber, P. Burg, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 256 (2010) 4570–4581.
- [38] B. Azambre, L. Zenboury, P. Da Costa, S. Capela, S. Carpentier, A. Westermann, *Catal. Today* 176 (2011) 242–249.